arXiv:1405.2004v3 [math.SP] 2 Mar 2015

Extensions of the quadratic form of the transverse Laplace operator

T. A. Bolokhov

St.Petersburg Department of V. A. Steklov Mathematical Institute
Russian Academy of Sciences
27 Fontanka, St. Petersburg, Russia 191023

Abstract

We review the quadratic form of the Laplace operator in 3 dimensions written in spherical coordinates and acting on the transverse components of vector functions. Operators, acting on the parametrizing functions of one of the transverse components with angular momentum 1 and 2, appear to be fourth order symmetric operators with deficiency indices (1,1). We develop self-adjoint extensions of these operators and propose correspondent extensions for the initial quadratic form. The relevant scalar product for the angular momentum 2 differs from the original product in the space of vector functions, but nevertheless it is still local in radial variable. Eigenfunctions of the operator extensions in question can be treated as stable soliton-like solutions of the corresponding dynamical system with the quadratic form being a functional of the potential energy.

Introduction

The quadratic form of the Laplace operator acting on the transverse components of a vector field $\vec{f}(\vec{x})$

$$Q_0(\vec{f}) = (\vec{f}, \Delta\vec{f}) = -\sum_{j,k=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{f^k} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} f^k d^3x, \quad \vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{f} = \sum_k \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial x_k} = 0 \qquad (1)$$

plays the role of the energy functional in the Coulomb gauge electrodynamics. The Friedrichs-Stone theorem [1] states, that each closed semi-bounded

quadratic form in a Hilbert space corresponds to a self-adjoint operator. That is, in order to define an operator for the theory (and altogether the spectral decomposition and the dynamics) one has to define a scalar product and a dense domain on which the form is acting. This is not always straightforward and usually requires taking into account additional information about physical properties of the model. Moreover, one can include a part of the scalar product into the operator expression (and vice versa) and in this way possibly find a different dynamics.

Even when the scalar product and the domain are both fixed there is still a possibility that the form in question can be extended to a wider domain. This happens when the formal operator of the quadratic form, defined on the initial domain of the problem, is symmetric but not self-adjoint. An example of such a model is one with the quadratic form of the scalar Laplace operator in two- or three-dimensional space

$$Q_0(f) = (f, \Delta f) = -\sum_{j=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{f(\vec{x})} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} f(\vec{x}) d^3x. \tag{2}$$

Even in the "plain" scalar product

$$(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{f(\vec{x})} g(\vec{x}) d^3x,$$

written in spherical coordinates, the operator acting on s-subspace (the subspace corresponding to spherically symmetric functions with angular momentum zero) turns out to be symmetric with deficiency indices $(1, 1)$. And the corresponding quadratic form $Q_0(f)$ can be extended to the subset of functions diverging at zero as $|x|^{-1}$.

Quadratic form (1) is somewhat different. Parametrization of the transverse component of the vector field with two sets of functions reveals, that the quadratic forms of one of them are represented by differential operators of the order 4. In the subspaces corresponding to the angular momentum $l = 1$ and $l = 2$ these operators are symmetric with deficiency indices $(1, 1)$. We shall construct self-adjoint extensions of these operators and of the corresponding quadratic forms. From the start these extensions are closed with repect to the "plain" product in the space of parametrizing functions, which naturally differs from the product induced by

$$(\vec{f}, \vec{g}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overline{\vec{f}(\vec{x})} \cdot \vec{g}(\vec{x}) d^3x. \tag{3}$$

Nevertheless in the case of the angular momentum $l = 1$ domains of the extensions in questions are also finite (and expected to be closed) with respect to the latter product.

The article is composed as follows. Section 1 provides some classical background on extensions of quadratic form of the scalar Laplace operator. Sections 2, 3 and 4 introduce the basis for vector spherical harmonics, the parametrization of the transverse subspace and the expressions for quadratic forms. Sections 5, 6, 7 and 8 describe self-adjoint extensions of the fourth-order differential operators and their spectral decompositions. The normalization of the eigenfunctions and the completeness relations are given in the Section 9. Kernels of the resolvents and of the reverse operators are in the Section 10. Section 11 provides description of the extended quadratic form of the 3-dimensional Laplace operator. $l = 2$.

1 Scalar Laplace operator

The scalar Laplace operator acts on the space of twice-differentiable functions of three variables as follows

$$\Delta : \quad f(\vec{x}) \to \Delta f(\vec{x}) = -\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j^2} \,.$$

Switching to the spherical coordinates

$$\vec{x} = \vec{x}(r,\theta,\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} r\cos\theta\cos\varphi \\ r\cos\theta\sin\varphi \\ r\sin\theta \end{pmatrix},$$
$$0 \le r, \quad 0 \le \theta \le \pi, \quad 0 \le \varphi < 2\pi$$

brings the operator to the form

$$\Delta f(\vec{x}(r,\theta,\varphi)) = -\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} f - \frac{1}{r^2\sin\theta}\big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\sin\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \frac{1}{\sin\theta}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\varphi^2}\big) f.$$

The standard separation of variables

$$f(r,\theta,\varphi) = \sum_{0\le|m|\le l} f_{lm}(r) Y_{lm}(\theta,\varphi) \tag{4}$$

allows one to transform the action of the operator to

$$\Delta f = \sum_{0\le|m|\le l} \Big(-\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}r^2\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\Big) f_{lm}(r)Y_{lm}(\theta,\varphi), \tag{5}$$

where $Y_{lm}(\theta,\varphi)$ are the spherical harmonics satisfying

$$\Delta_\Omega Y_{lm} = -\frac{1}{\sin\theta}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\sin\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \frac{1}{\sin\theta}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\varphi^2}\Big)Y_{lm} = l(l+1)Y_{lm}\,,$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \overline{Y_{l'm'}(\Omega)}Y_{lm}(\Omega)d\Omega = \delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'}.$$

Since in what follows the equations will not depend on the parametrization of a point on sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ by spherical angles θ, φ, we have replaced the latter with a generic spherical variable Ω. For our purposes, it is only the completeness of the set $Y_{lm}(\Omega)$ that matters, *i. e.* we require that every smooth function be uniquely represented as sum (4). Thus the action of the Laplace operator reduces to the action of the radial operators

$$\tau_l: \quad f_l(r) \to -\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{d}{dr}r^2\frac{d}{dr}f_l(r) + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}f_l(r) \tag{6}$$

on the space of differentiable functions defined on the positive half-axis, endowed with the scalar product

$$(f,g)_{\mathbb{R}^3} = \int_0^\infty \overline{f(r)}g(r)\,r^2dr.$$

By virtue of functional substitution $f_l = \frac{u_l}{r}$ the operators τ_l transform into

$$T_l: \quad u_l \to -\frac{d^2}{dr^2}u_l + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}u_l, \tag{7}$$

while the scalar product turns into a simpler expression

$$(v,u) = \int_0^\infty \overline{v(r)}u(r)dr. \tag{8}$$

1.1 Self-adjointness of the radial operators

Operators T_l, defined on the subspace of twice-differentiable functions vanishing along with their derivatives at zero

$$\mathcal{W}_0^2 = \{u: \quad (u,u) < \infty,\, (u'',u'') < \infty,\, u(0) = u'(0) = 0\},$$

are essentially self-adjoint for $l \geq 1$. Meanwhile the operator T_0 (from now on we shall denote it as T) has the deficiency indices $(1,1)$. Indeed, if T is defined on $\mathcal{W}_0^2$, then the functions

$$g_\pm = \exp\{e^{\mp i\frac{3\pi}{4}}\rho r\}$$

generate the kernels of adjoint operators $(T \mp i\rho^2)^*$, since they are the only square integrable solutions of the equations

$$\frac{d^2 g_+}{dr^2} = i\rho^2 g_+ \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^2 g_-}{dr^2} = -i\rho^2 g_-. \tag{9}$$

Here we have introduced a dimensional parameter ρ: $[\rho] = [r]^{-1}$ for the reason that T is a dimensional operator, *i. e.* its spectrum is comprised by dimensional values. From the point of view of physics, one cannot equate the left- and right-hand sides of (9) without introducing a dimensional coefficient of ρ^2. The choice of value of ρ in some sense is equivalent to the choice of the renormalization point in physics, being a quantity via which a dimensional parameter of the theory can be expressed.

1.2 Extensions of symmetric operator T

Exploiting the symmetric property of T, the ranges

$$Ran_\pm = \{g = (T \mp i\rho^2)u: \;\; u \in \mathcal{W}_0^2\}$$

can be isometrically connected by the operator U (called the Cayley transform of T) which acts as follows

$$U: \quad t = (T + i\rho^2)u \to Ut = (T - i\rho^2)u.$$

Using the fact that the linear spans of vectors $g_\pm$ represent the only orthogonal complements of the corresponding ranges $Ran_\pm$ (by the definition of

an adjoint operator), one may extend the partial isometry U to an unitary operator U_a by fixing it on g_+ in the following way

$$U_a e^{ia} g_+ = e^{-ia} g_-, \quad 0 \le a < \pi,$$

where e^{2ia} is an unitary parameter. The operator U_a is a Cayley transform of some self-adjoint extension T_a of the symmetric operator T. This extension is defined on the subspace $\mathcal{W}_a^2$, which includes the function h^a obeying

$$(T_a - i\rho^2)h^a = e^{ia} g_+,$$
$$(T_a + i\rho^2)h^a = e^{-ia} g_-,$$

and the following relation holds for that subspace

$$\mathcal{W}_a^2 = \mathcal{W}_0^2 \dotplus \{\alpha h^a, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

It is not hard to see that h^a can be constructed as a linear combination of g_+ and g_-:

$$h^a = \frac{e^{ia}}{2i\rho^2} g_+ - \frac{e^{-ia}}{2i\rho^2} g_- = \frac{1}{2i\rho^2}\big(\exp\{ia + e^{-i\frac{3\pi}{4}}\rho r\} - \exp\{-ia + e^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}\rho r\}\big).$$

1.3 Boundary conditions

The operator of the second derivative is symmetric on any linear subspace on which the boundary terms

$$\int_0^\infty \overline{v''} u dr - \int_0^\infty \overline{v} u'' dr = (\overline{v'}u - \overline{v}u')|_0^\infty = \overline{v}(0)u'(0) - \overline{v'}(0)u(0)$$

vanish (here we have eliminated the limits at infinity using the square integrability of u, v). The element h^a constructed above relates the value of function u from $\mathcal{W}_a^2$ at zero with the value of its derivative in such a way that the operator T_a is symmetric:

$$\overline{h_a(0)}u'(0) - \overline{h_a'(0)}u(0) = 0,$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{W}_a^2 = \{u : (u,u) < \infty,\ (u'',u'') < \infty,\ \rho\sin(a - \frac{\pi}{4})\, u(0) + \sin a\, u'(0) = 0\}.$$

Essential self-adjointness of T_a on $\mathcal{W}_a^2$ (deficiency indices of $(0,0)$) follows from the construction.

1.4 Eigenvectors

Depending on the value of parameter a, the operator T_a might have eigenvalues (discrete spectrum). Consider the exponential

$$v_\kappa(r) = e^{-\kappa r}, \quad \kappa > 0,$$

which obeys the equation

$$-\frac{d^2 v_\kappa}{dr^2} = -\kappa^2 v_\kappa.$$

This exponential belongs to $\mathcal{W}_a^2$ if the following condition holds

$$\rho \sin(a - \frac{\pi}{4})\, v_\kappa(0) = -\sin a\, {v_\kappa}'(0).$$

This condition relates κ with parameters a and ρ as follows

$$\kappa = \rho \frac{\sin(a - \pi/4)}{\sin a}.$$

As a is varied from 0 to π, the RHS runs through the values of the real axis once. It is clear, that in order for κ to be positive, the numerator above should be positive, *i.e.*

$$\frac{\pi}{4} < a < \pi.$$

There is no discrete spectrum of T_a for other values of a. The continuous spectrum of T_a has multiplicity one and occupies negative half-axis, we shall not stop on it here, thorough material about this operator and the theory of self-adjoint extensions can be found in [2].

1.5 The quadratic form

The Friedrichs-Stone theorem [1] uniquely relates each semi-bounded self-adjoined operator T_a to a closed semi-bounded quadratic form Q_a, via a natural rule

$$Q_a(u) = (u, T_a u), \quad u \in \mathcal{W}_a^2.$$

This quadratic form is initially defined on the domain $\mathcal{W}_a^2$, and then continuously extended onto some closed domain $\mathcal{W}_{(a)}^1$. The Friedrichs-Stone theorem also ensures that among self-adjoint extensions of the symmetric

semi-bounded operator T (with deficiency indices $(1,1)$ in this case) there is a distinguished (maximal) extension whose quadratic form is the closure of the quadratic form of T:

$$Q_0(u) = (u, Tu), \quad u \in \mathcal{W}_0^2.$$

In the case of the second derivative operator this distinguished (Friedrichs) extension corresponds to $a = 0$, $\kappa = -\infty$ and the domain of the corresponding form Q_0 consists of functions vanishing at zero:

$$\mathcal{W}_0^1 = \{u : \ (u,u) < \infty, \, (u',u') < \infty, \, u(0) = 0\}.$$

All other self-adjoined extensions correspond to forms defined on one single domain

$$\mathcal{W}_{(a)}^1 = \mathcal{W}_1^1 = \{u : \ (u,u) < \infty, \, (u',u') < \infty\},$$

and act as

$$Q_a(u) = -\kappa(a)|u(0)|^2 + \int_0^\infty |u'(r)|^2 dr$$

(here one can clearly see why the Friedrichs extension $\kappa = -\infty$ for the set of forms $Q_a(u)$ bounded from below is called *maximal*). The term "extension" also implies the extension condition $Q_0 \subset Q_a$:

$$\mathcal{W}_0^1 \subset \mathcal{W}_{(a)}^1, \quad Q_a(u) = Q_0(u), \quad u \in \mathcal{W}_0^1.$$

Thus we have obtained a one-to-one correspondence between the self-adjoint extensions T_a, $a \neq 0$ which act the same way as the second derivative operators but on different domains and the quadratic forms Q_a, defined on a single domain, but all acting differently. In the general case the structure of the domains of the quadratic forms corresponding to self-adjoined extensions of semi-bounded symmetric operator is described by the Krein theorem [3].

Applied to the case of the quadratic form of the Laplace operator in three dimensions (2), the above equations imply that when closing the form starting from the domain of functions vanishing at zero, one arrives at a quadratic form on the domain of bounded functions. This form corresponds to the self-adjoint operator defined on the domain of bounded twice-differentiable functions (the "common" Laplace operator). But, at the same time, the original quadratic form (2) can be extended to a closed form $Q_a^\Delta(f)$, which

acts on functions diverging at zero as r^{-1}:

$$Q_a^{\Delta}(f) = \lim_{r\to 0}\Big(= \sum_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus B_r} |\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}|^2 d^3\vec{x} - (\kappa(a) + \frac{1}{r}) \int_{\partial B_r} |f(\vec{x})|^2 d^2 s\Big) =$$
$$= \sum_{0\le|m|\le l} \int \big(|\frac{df_{lm}}{dr}|^2 + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}|f_{lm}|^2\big) r^2 dr - \kappa(a) \lim_{r\to 0} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |f(r,\Omega)|^2 r^2 d\Omega, \tag{10}$$

where B_r is a ball of radius r centered at the origin. The renormalization and scattering theory corresponding to such extensions were originally studied in [4]. A thorough survey on perturbations and extension of differential operators can be found in [5].

2 Vector Laplace operator

Let us turn to the vector Laplace operator. This operator acts on 3-dimensional vector functions $\vec{f}(\vec{x})$ of 3 variables in the following way

$$\Delta\vec{f}(\vec{x}) = -\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}\vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{r^2}\Big(-\frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \Delta_\Omega\Big)\vec{f}(\vec{x}(r,\Omega)).$$

It is natural to assume that in spherical coordinates the deficiency indices of a symmetric operator acting on the domain of functions vanishing at zero are $(3,3)$.

Now, in place of the scalar spherical functions Y_{lm}, let us introduce three vector spherical harmonics (VSH) [6]:

$$\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm} = \frac{\vec{x}}{r} Y_{lm}, \quad 0 \le l, \quad |m| \le l, \tag{11}$$
$$\vec{\Psi}_{lm} = (l(l+1))^{-1/2} r\vec{\partial} Y_{lm}, \quad 1 \le l, \quad |m| \le l, \tag{12}$$
$$\vec{\Phi}_{lm} = (l(l+1))^{-1/2} (\vec{x}\times\vec{\partial}) Y_{lm}, \quad 1 \le l, \quad |m| \le l. \tag{13}$$

Although VSH contain variable r in the definition, it is not hard to see that these functions are scale invariant and depend only on the angles Ω. VSH

defined as (11)–(13) form an orthogonal and normalized system of vectors:

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \overline{\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm}(\Omega)}\vec{\Psi}_{l'm'}(\Omega)d\Omega = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \overline{\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm}(\Omega)}\vec{\Upsilon}_{l'm'}(\Omega)d\Omega = \delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'}, \tag{14}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \overline{\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm}(\Omega)}\vec{\Phi}_{l'm'}(\Omega)d\Omega = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \overline{\vec{\Psi}_{lm}(\Omega)}\vec{\Psi}_{l'm'}(\Omega)d\Omega = \delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'}, \tag{15}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \overline{\vec{\Phi}_{lm}(\Omega)}\vec{\Psi}_{l'm'}(\Omega)d\Omega = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \overline{\vec{\Phi}_{lm}(\Omega)}\vec{\Phi}_{l'm'}(\Omega)d\Omega = \delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'}. \tag{16}$$

Vector spherical harmonics allow for a unique representation of a vector function $\vec{f}(\vec{x})$ as a series

$$\vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \sum_{0\le|m|\le l} y_{lm}(r)\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm} + \sum_{1\le l,|m|\le l} \psi_{lm}(r)\vec{\Psi}_{lm} + \sum_{1\le l,|m|\le l} \phi_{lm}(r)\vec{\Phi}_{lm}. \tag{17}$$

Although the separation of variables for the action of laplacian Δ still can be written in the form

$$\Delta\big(z(r)\vec{Z}_{lm}\big) = -\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}r^2\frac{\partial}{\partial r}z(r)\vec{Z}_{lm} + \frac{z(r)}{r^2}\Delta_\Omega\vec{Z}_{lm}, \quad \vec{Z} = \vec{\Upsilon}, \vec{\Psi}, \vec{\Phi},$$

the harmonics are mixed in the second term. The action of Δ_Ω on VSH is not diagonal (for $l \ge 1$), but with the normalization (11)–(13) it appears to be symmetric:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\Omega\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm} &= (2 + l(l+1))\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm} - 2\sqrt{l(l+1)}\vec{\Psi}_{lm},\\
\Delta_\Omega\vec{\Psi}_{lm} &= -2\sqrt{l(l+1)}\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm} + l(l+1)\vec{\Psi}_{lm},\\
\Delta_\Omega\vec{\Phi}_{lm} &= l(l+1)\vec{\Phi}_{lm}
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

(one may notice that the same expression holds for the component $\vec{\Upsilon}_{00}$, for $l = 0$). Change of the basis

$$\begin{pmatrix}\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm}\\ \vec{\Psi}_{lm}\end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\Upsilon}_{lm} = (2l+1)^{-1/2}(\sqrt{l}\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm} + \sqrt{l+1}\vec{\Psi}_{lm})\\ \vec{\Psi}_{lm} = (2l+1)^{-1/2}(-\sqrt{l+1}\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm} + \sqrt{l}\vec{\Psi}_{lm})\end{pmatrix} \tag{19}$$

diagonalizes the action of Δ_Ω:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\Omega\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm} &= (l-1)l\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm},\\
\Delta_\Omega\vec{\Psi}_{lm} &= (l+1)(l+2)\vec{\Psi}_{lm}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we may conclude that for $l = 1$ the action of vector Laplace operator on the subspace of the component $\vec{\Upsilon}_{1m}$ coincides with the action of scalar Laplace operator (6) on the subspace of the spherical harmonics

$$\Delta\big(y(r)\vec{\Upsilon}_{1m}\big) = -r^{-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}r^2\frac{\partial}{\partial r}y(r)\vec{\Upsilon}_{1m}, \quad m = -1, 0, 1.$$

Hence the vector Laplace operator written in basis (11)–(13), acting on smooth functions vanishing at zero also acquires the deficiency indices $(3, 3)$. But now these numbers originate from the dimension of the subspace of spherical harmonics of momentum $l = 1$, rather than from the number of components of the vector field.

The latter assertion was a small exercise. From now on we forget the change (19) and turn to the transverse subspace.

3 Transverse subspace

Let us define the transverse subspace as a linear subset of vector functions satisfying

$$\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}f^j(\vec{x}) = 0. \tag{20}$$

It is not hard to check that any product of $\vec{\Phi}_{lm}$ by a radial function is transverse

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\partial}\cdot\big(\phi(r)\vec{\Phi}_{lm}\big) &= \vec{\partial}\phi(r)\cdot\vec{\Phi}_{lm} + \phi(r)\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\Phi}_{lm} = \\ &= (l(l+1))^{-1/2}\big(\phi'(r)r^{-1}\vec{x}\cdot(\vec{x}\times\vec{\partial})Y_{lm} + \phi(r)\vec{\partial}\cdot(\vec{x}\times\vec{\partial})Y_{lm}\big) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

here we have used the trivial properties of the mixed product

$$\vec{x}\cdot(\vec{x}\times\vec{\partial}) = 0, \quad \vec{\partial}\cdot(\vec{x}\times\vec{\partial}) = 0.$$

The action of Δ, along with that of its quadratic form, on the last sum of decomposition (17), coincide with the action and the quadratic form of the scalar spherical laplacian (5) for $l \geq 1$. This operator is essentially self-adjoint on the subspaces corresponding to each l. We are not going to describe it further, but rather turn to the second part of the transverse subspace.

The first two sums of the decomposition (17) are not transverse when taken separately. But with a special choice of the coefficient functions

$$y_{lm}(r) = \sqrt{l(l+1)}\frac{u_{lm}(r)}{r^2}, \tag{21}$$

$$\psi_{lm}(r) = \frac{u'_{lm}(r)}{r} \tag{22}$$

each term $y_{lm}\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm} + \psi_{lm}\vec{\Psi}_{lm}$ becomes such:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\partial}\cdot\big(\sqrt{l(l+1)}\frac{u_{lm}}{r^2}\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm} + \frac{u'_{lm}}{r}\vec{\Psi}_{lm}\big) = \\ = \sqrt{l(l+1)}Y_{lm}\big((\frac{u'_{lm}}{r^2} - \frac{2u_{lm}}{r^3})\frac{\vec{x}}{r}\cdot\frac{\vec{x}}{r} + \frac{u_{lm}}{r^2}\vec{\partial}\cdot\frac{\vec{x}}{r}\big) + \\ + 1/\sqrt{l(l+1)}u'_{lm}\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\partial}Y_{lm} = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Here we have taken into account the relations

$$\vec{x}\cdot\vec{\partial}Y_{lm}(\Omega) = 0, \quad \vec{\partial}\cdot\frac{\vec{x}}{r} = \frac{2}{r}, \quad \vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\partial}Y_{lm} = -\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}Y_{lm}.$$

Equality (23) also immediately follows from the representation

$$\sqrt{l(l+1)}\frac{u}{r^2}\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm} + \frac{u'}{r}\vec{\Psi}_{lm} = \vec{\partial}\times\frac{u}{r}\vec{\Phi}_{lm},$$

prove of which, however, requires some two-line calculation. The choice of parameter u_{lm} in (21)–(22) is definitely not unique, and we use this particular one to obtain operators similar to (7).

Let us take the functions u_{lm} to be smooth on the positive half-axis and vanishing at zero together with their derivatives. We denote this subset as $\mathcal{S}^0_l$ and the subset of the transverse functions generated by such u_{lm} as $\mathcal{D}_{lm}$:

$$\mathcal{D}_{lm} = \big\{\vec{f} = \sqrt{l(l+1)}\frac{u_{lm}(r)}{r^2}\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm}(\Omega) + \frac{u'_{lm}(r)}{r}\vec{\Psi}_{lm}(\Omega), \quad u_{lm}\in\mathcal{S}^0_l\big\}.$$

The calculation of the action of the Laplace operator on $\mathcal{D}_{lm}$ by virtue of (18)

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\big(\sqrt{l(l+1)}\frac{u_{lm}}{r^2}\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm} + \frac{u'_{lm}}{r}\vec{\Psi}_{lm}\big) = \\ = \sqrt{l(l+1)}\frac{1}{r^2}\big(-u''_{lm} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}u_{lm}\big)\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm} + \frac{1}{r}\big(-u''_{lm} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}u_{lm}\big)'\vec{\Psi}_{lm}\end{aligned}$$

reveals that, first – the subset $\mathcal{D}_{lm}$ is an invariant subspace for Δ, and second – that the laplacian induces on functions u_{lm} from $\mathcal{S}^0_l$ the action of second order differential operator T_l:

$$\Delta: \quad u_{lm} \to T_l u_{lm} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} u_{lm} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} u_{lm}. \tag{24}$$

The scalar product (3) from the space of 3-dimensional vector functions, being contracted to $\mathcal{D}_{lm}$, also naturally transfers to sesquilinear form on $\mathcal{S}^0_l$:

$$\int \overline{\vec{f}_v(\vec{x})} \vec{f}_u(\vec{x}) d^3x =$$

$$= \int \big(\sqrt{l(l+1)} \frac{\overline{v_{lm}}}{r^2} \overline{\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm}} + \frac{\overline{v'_{lm}}}{r} \overline{\vec{\Psi}_{lm}}\big) \cdot \big(\sqrt{l(l+1)} \frac{u_{lm}}{r^2} \vec{\Upsilon}_{lm} + \frac{u'_{lm}}{r} \vec{\Psi}_{lm}\big) r^2 dr d\Omega =$$

$$= \int \big(\overline{v'_{lm}} u'_{lm} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \overline{v_{lm}} u_{lm}\big) dr \equiv \langle v, u \rangle_l. \tag{25}$$

In this way each linear subset $\mathcal{S}^0_l$ acquires a Hilbert structure. Along with the action of T_l this structure defines a quadratic form in the space $\mathcal{S}^0_l$ and the action of this form corresponds to the action of the quadratic form of vector laplacian on the subspace $\mathcal{D}_{lm}$.

4 Operator of the quadratic form

We are not going to construct a closed operator out of T_l in the sesquilinear form (3) as well as the closed quadratic form

$$(\vec{f}_u, \Delta \vec{f}_u) = \langle u, T_l u \rangle_l, \quad l \geq 1 \tag{26}$$

in that scalar product. Instead we shall consider a somewhat more general problem. Namely, the extensions of the quadratic form (26) with respect to the scalar product (8). The reason for this is the consideration that underlying physics, described by the quadratic form of the transverse laplacian, does not necessarily restrict its states by normalization (25). Another reason is that (8) is one of products that are local in variable r (as we mentioned, one could freely transfer part of the operator into the product, but usually this would result in a nonlocal expression).

By means of integration by parts one can see that for $u, v \in \mathcal{S}_l^0$ the following equality holds

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle v,&T_l u\rangle_l = \\
&= \int_0^\infty \big(\frac{d\bar{v}}{dr}\frac{d}{dr}(-\frac{d^2u}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}u) + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\bar{v}(-\frac{d^2u}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}u)\big)dr = \\
&= \int_0^\infty (-\frac{d^2\bar{v}}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\bar{v})(-\frac{d^2u}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}u)dr = (T_l v, T_l u) \\
&= \int_0^\infty \bar{v}\big(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2}(-\frac{d^2u}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}u) + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}(-\frac{d^2u}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}u)\big)dr = \\
&= (v, T_l^2 u). \qquad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that quadratic form $\langle u, T_l u\rangle_l$ in the scalar product (8) is defined by the fourth order differential operator

$$T_l^2 = \big(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\big)^2. \qquad (28)$$

4.1 Alternative interpretation of the quadratic form

We can also provide an alternative interpretation of the possible extensions of the operator T_l, $l = 1$ in the product (25). Let E_l be a linear differential operation acting on functions from $\mathcal{S}_0^l$ in the following way

$$E_l u = r^{-l}\frac{d}{dr}(r^l u).$$

Adjoint (in the sence of integration by parts in the scalar product) operation E_l^* looks as

$$E_l^* u = -r^l\frac{d}{dr}(r^{-l}u) = E_{-l}u,$$

and the products of E_l and E_l^* are equal to the described second order differential operations T_l and T_{l-1}:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_l^* E_l u &= -\, r^l\frac{d}{dr}r^{-2l}\frac{d}{dr}(r^l u) = T_l \\
E_l E_l^* u &= -\, r^{-l}\frac{d}{dr}r^{2l}\frac{d}{dr}(r^{-l}u) = T_{-l}u = T_{l-1}u.
\end{aligned}$$

For any $u, v \in \mathcal{S}_l^0$ the scalar product (25) takes the form

$$\langle u, v\rangle_l = (E_l u, E_l v),$$

while the form (27) is represented by the expression

$$\langle u, T_l v\rangle_l = (E_l u, E_l T_l v) = (E_l u, E_l E_l^* E_l v).$$

The linear map

$$u \to \psi_u = E_l u = r^{-l}\frac{d}{dr} r^l u(r)$$

has a trivial kernel on $\mathcal{S}_l^0$, and naturally transfers the sesquilinear form (25) into (8)

$$\langle u, v\rangle_l = (\psi_u, \psi_v).$$

In the terms of ψ the quadratic form (26) looks as simple as

$$\langle u, T_l v\rangle_l = (E_l u, E_l E_l^* E_l v) = (\psi_u, E_l E_l^* \psi_u) = (\psi_u, T_{l-1}\psi_u). \qquad (29)$$

Thus the problem transfers to the construction of the extensions of quadratic forms of operators T_{l-1} in the "plain" scalar product (8). These operators, as was said in 1.1, are essentially self-adjoint for $l > 1$ and are symmetric with deficiency indices $(1, 1)$ for $l = 1$.

5 Deficiency indices of T_l^2

The solutions of the equation

$$-\frac{d^2 g}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} g = \lambda g$$

coincide, up to the factor of r, with the spherical Bessel functions. Hence, in order to describe the solutions of an equation with T_l^2 in the LHS we need to provide some basic facts from this classical theory.

5.1 Some properties of the spherical Bessel functions

Let D_l be a linear differential operation defined as

$$D_l w(r) = r^{l+1}\Big(\frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\Big)^l \frac{w}{r} = r^l\Big(\frac{d}{dr}\frac{1}{r}\Big)^l w(r). \qquad (30)$$

Then, via mathematical induction and some differentiation one obtains the equality

$$T_l D_l w(r) = D_l T_0 w(r) = -D_l \frac{d^2 w}{dr^2}. \tag{31}$$

This allows one to construct eigenfunctions of the operator T_l^2 from the eigenfunctions of

$$T_0^2 = \frac{d^4}{dx^4}.$$

The behaviour of the functions represented as (30) in the vicinity of zero can be described as follows. Let

$$w(r) = r^k,$$

then

$$D_l w(r) = (k-2l+1)\dots(k-1)r^{k-l}.$$

In particular, if $w(r)$ has an expansion near zero which looks like

$$w(r) = w_0 + w_1 r + \ldots + w_5 r^5 + \mathcal{O}(r^6),$$

then

$$D_1 w(r) = -\frac{w_0}{r} + w_2 r + 2w_3 r^2 + 3w_4 r^3 + \mathcal{O}(r^4), \tag{32}$$

$$D_2 w(r) = \frac{3w_0}{r^2} - w_2 + 3w_4 r^2 + 8w_5 r^3 + \mathcal{O}(r^4). \tag{33}$$

That is, the operation D_l lowers the power of its argument by l, and simultaneously l powers are eliminated. This also means that one has to separately check that after applying D_l to a set of independent functions the resulting set is still independent. We will be dealing with exponents of non-commuting periods for which this fact is rather trivial, so we will not focus on this.

5.2 Frobenius method

The deficiency indices of symmetric operator T_l, that is, the dimensions of the subspaces of square integrable solutions of the equations

$$\big(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\big) g = \pm i\tilde{\rho}^2 g \tag{34}$$

can be estimated by the Frobenius method (see *e.g.* [7]) of expansion of $g(r)$ in a vicinity of a critical point (in our case it is point zero). In the case of the second order differential operator T_l this method results in the following equation for the power α

$$-\frac{d^2 r^\alpha}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} r^\alpha = 0. \tag{35}$$

It gives two possible solutions of (34) behaving as

$$g_1(r) \overset{r\to 0}{\sim} r^{l+1}, \quad g_2(r) \overset{r\to 0}{\sim} r^{-l}.$$

The first solution is regular at zero but for $\mathrm{Re}\,\tilde{\rho}^2 > 0$ it is exponentially growing at infinity. The second, in contrast, is regular at infinity, but for $l > 0$ it diverges at zero.

A simple modification of the Frobenius method reveals, that two of the four possible solutions of the equation

$$\big(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\big)^2 g = \pm i\rho^4 g \tag{36}$$

have the same behaviour at zero as the solutions of (34) (more precisely, they coincide with those of (34) after the substitution $i\rho^4 = \tilde{\rho}^4$), while the other two have powers of r near zero greater by two:

$$g_3(r) \overset{r\to 0}{\sim} r^{l+3}, \quad g_4(r) \overset{r\to 0}{\sim} r^{-l+2}$$

(in this case the first operator T_l in (36) lowers the power by two, while the second one solves (35)). The solutions g_1 and g_3 grow exponentially at infinity, g_2 is still not square-integrable at zero for $l \geq 1$, while g_4 does satisfy the square integrability if $l = 1, 2$. That is, one can expect that the symmetric semi-bounded operator T_l^2 has the deficiency indices $(1, 1)$ when $l = 1, 2$.

5.3 Solutions of the fourth order differential equations

Let us construct the solutions of (36) in an explicit form. Equation (31), applied to the exponents

$$w = \exp\{e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{8} \pm i\frac{\pi k}{2}} \rho r\}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3\,,$$

gives

$$T_l^2 D_l \exp\{e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{8}\pm i\frac{\pi k}{2}}\rho r\} = D_l T_0^2 \exp\{e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{8}\pm i\frac{\pi k}{2}}\rho r\} = \\ = \pm i\rho^4 D_l \exp\{e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{8}\pm i\frac{\pi k}{2}}\rho r\}.$$

The functions $D_l \exp\{e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{8}\pm i\frac{\pi k}{2}}\rho r\}$ exponentially grow at infinity if $k = 0, 3$ and exponentially vanish if $k = 1, 2$. The asymptotics (32) and (33) show, that within the linear span of exponents $k = 1, 2$ only the combinations proportional to their difference are square-integrable at zero (it is only in this difference where the coefficient w_0 vanishes).

Thus we can see, that the subspaces of square integrable solutions of (36) are one-dimensional and generated by the functions

$$g_{l+} = D_l\big(\exp\{e^{i\frac{5\pi}{8}}\rho r\} - \exp\{e^{i\frac{9\pi}{8}}\rho r\}\big),$$
$$g_{l-} = D_l\big(\exp\{e^{i\frac{7\pi}{8}}\rho r\} - \exp\{e^{i\frac{11\pi}{8}}\rho r\}\big).$$

6 Extensions of symmetric operator T_l^2

The functions $g_{l\pm}$ constructed in the previous section generate kernels of the corresponding adjoint operators:

$$(T_l^2 + i\rho^4)^* g_{l+} = 0, \quad (T_l^2 - i\rho^4)^* g_{l-} = 0.$$

These kernels are the only orthogonal complements of the ranges of the shifted symmetric operators

$$Ran_\pm = \{g = (T_l^2 \pm i\rho^4)u, \quad u \in \mathcal{S}_l^0\}.$$

The Cayley transform provides every symmetric operator T_l^2 with a partial isometry U, mapping from Ran_+ to Ran_- according to the rule

$$U : (T_l^2 + i\rho^4)u \to (T_l^2 - i\rho^4)u.$$

Based on the above description of the kernels, the isometric operator U can be extended to an unitary operator U_a by letting the latter act on the orthogonal complements of Ran_+ as

$$U_a e^{ia} g_{l+} = e^{-ia} g_{l-}, \quad 0 \le a < \pi,$$

where e^{2ia} is an unitary parameter. The operator U_a is a Cayley transform of some self-adjoint extension T^2_{la} of the symmetric operator T^2_l. The domain of T^2_{la} now contains the vector h_l which satisfies the conditions

$$(T^2_{la} + i\rho^4)h^a_l = e^{ia}g_{l+},$$
$$(T^2_{la} - i\rho^4)h^a_l = e^{-ia}g_{l-}.$$

Choosing h^a_l to be a linear combination of g_{l+} and g_{l-} one can find

$$h^a_l = \frac{e^{ia}}{2i\rho^4}g_{l+} - \frac{e^{-ia}}{2i\rho^4}g_{l-} = \frac{1}{2i\rho^4}D_l\big(\exp\{ia + e^{i\frac{5\pi}{8}}\rho r\} - \exp\{ia - e^{i\frac{\pi}{8}}\rho r\} -$$
$$- \exp\{-ia - e^{-i\frac{\pi}{8}}\rho r\} + \exp\{-ia + e^{-i\frac{5\pi}{8}}\rho r\}\big).$$

Thus we arrive at a conclusion that the essential domain of T^2_{la} is a sum

$$\mathcal{S}^a_l = \mathcal{S}^0_l \dotplus h^a_l,$$

and that the action of T^2_{la} is the fourth order differential operation (28).

Using the asymptotics (32), (33) one can calculate the first coefficients of the expansion of h^a_l in the vicinity of zero

$$h^a_1(r) = \sin a\,\rho r + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\cos(a + \frac{\pi}{8})\,\rho^3 r^2 + \mathcal{O}(r^4)$$
$$h^a_2(r) = \sin a\,\rho^2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{15}\cos(a - \frac{\pi}{8})\,\rho^5 r^3 + \mathcal{O}(r^4).$$

These expansions yield the boundary conditions for the domains of T^2_{la}:

$$l = 1: \quad h^{a\prime\prime}_1(0) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\frac{\cos(a + \pi/8)}{\sin a}\rho h^{a\prime}_1(0), \quad h^a_1(0) = h^{a\prime\prime\prime}_1(0) = 0, \quad (37)$$
$$l = 2: \quad h^{a\prime\prime\prime}_2(0) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{5}\frac{\cos(a - \pi/8)}{\sin a}\rho^3 h^a_1(0), \quad h^{a\prime}_1(0) = h^{a\prime\prime}_1(0) = 0. \quad (38)$$

Hence the essential domain $\mathcal{S}^a_l$ can be described as a linear set of functions bounded by the norm

$$\big(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\big) + \big((-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2})^2\,\cdot\,, (-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2})^2\,\cdot\,\big)$$

and satisfying the boundary conditions (37), (38), correspondingly.

6.1 Integration by parts and the property of symmetry

Now let us check that the conditions (37) and (38) are in agreement with the symmetry property of the operators T^2_{la}:

$$\int \bar{v}\big(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2}+\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\big)^2 u dr - \int \big(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2}+\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\big)^2 \bar{v} u dr = \\ = \big(\bar{v}u''' - \bar{v}'''u - \bar{v}'u'' + \bar{v}''u' + 2\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}(\bar{v}'u - \bar{v}u')\big)\big|_0^\infty.$$

The upper limit in the RHS is zero for the reason that u, v, as well as their derivatives, vanish at infinity. The lower limit can be calculated by expanding the expression $(\bar{v}'u - \bar{v}u')$ in the values of u and v and their derivatives at zero (we denote them as u_0, u_0', u_0'', u_0''' and similarly for v). Then RHS above transforms into three terms

$$2\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}(\bar{v}_0u_0' - \bar{v}_0'u_0)\big|_{r=0} + 2\frac{l(l+1)}{r}(\bar{v}_0u_0'' - \bar{v}_0''u_0)\big|_{r=0} + \\ + (l(l+1)-1)(\bar{v}_0u_0''' - \bar{v}_0'''u_0) + (l(l+1)+1)(\bar{v}_0'u_0'' - \bar{v}_0''u_0').$$

In order for this sum to be equal to zero each of these terms must be zeroed separately. This leads to the conditions

$$\frac{u_0'}{u_0} = \frac{\bar{v}_0'}{\bar{v}_0}, \quad \frac{u_0''}{u_0} = \frac{\bar{v}_0''}{\bar{v}_0}, \quad \frac{u_0'''}{u_0} = \frac{\bar{v}_0'''}{\bar{v}_0}, \tag{39}$$

which uniquely bind three of the first four coefficients of the expansion of u (and v) to the fourth one.

It is not hard to see, that the conditions (37) and (38) are in agreement with (39), and in this way the differential operators T^2_{la} are symmetric on the domains $\mathcal{S}^a_l$.

7 Discrete spectrum

Using the boundary conditions (37) and (38) let us try to study, for which values of a there exist (discrete) eigenvalues of T^2_{la}. We shall restrict ourselves to the negative spectrum, while the absence of positive eigenvalues will be discussed in the next section. Suppose that function $\tilde{v}^\kappa_l$ satisfies the equation

$$\big(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2}+\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\big)^2 \tilde{v}^\kappa_l(r) = -\kappa^4 \tilde{v}^\kappa_l(r), \quad \kappa > 0.$$

Let us write this function as

$$\tilde{v}_l^\kappa(r) = D_l w^\kappa(r),$$

then

$$T_l^2 \tilde{v}_l^\kappa = T_l^2 D_l w^\kappa = D_l T_0^2 w^\kappa = D_l \frac{d^4}{dr^4} w^\kappa = -\kappa^4 D_l w^\kappa.$$

This equation shows that w^κ, up to a solution of

$$D_l w = 0,$$

belongs to the linear span of four exponents

$$\exp\{e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}+i\frac{\pi k}{2}}\kappa r\}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

Two of these exponents, namely those with $k = 0, 3$, grow at infinity, while among the other two a square integrable solution for $\tilde{v}_l^\kappa$ is produced only by the difference

$$w^\kappa = i\exp\{e^{-i\frac{3\pi}{4}}\kappa r\} - i\exp\{e^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}\kappa r\}$$

(the imaginary coefficients here are chosen in order to obtain a real value for $\tilde{v}_l^\kappa$). Using the expansion of w^κ in the vicinity of zero

$$w^\kappa = \sqrt{2}\kappa r - \kappa^2 r^2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{6}\kappa^3 r^3 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{120}\kappa^5 r^5 + \mathcal{O}(r^6),$$

and the asymtotics (32) and (33) we find the first coefficients of $\tilde{v}_l^\kappa$:

$$\tilde{v}_1^\kappa = -\kappa^2 r + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\kappa^3 r^2 + \mathcal{O}(r^4), \tag{40}$$

$$\tilde{v}_2^\kappa = \kappa^2 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{15}\kappa^5 r^3 + \mathcal{O}(r^4). \tag{41}$$

In order for the functions v_l^κ to be in the domains of T_{la}^2 they should satisfy the corresponding boundary conditions (37) or (38). The second parts of these conditions are obviously obeyed because of the absence of the corresponding coefficients in (40) or (41). Meanwhile, the first parts produce conditions which express κ in terms of the parameter a:

$$l = 1: \quad \kappa = -\rho\frac{\cos(a + \pi/8)}{\sin a}, \quad 0 \le a < \pi, \tag{42}$$

$$l = 2: \quad \kappa^3 = -\rho^3\frac{\cos(a - \pi/8)}{\sin a}, \quad 0 \le a < \pi. \tag{43}$$

Each of the RHS of these equations is an one-to-one correspondence relating the interval $0 \le a < \pi$ to the real axis joined with point $-\infty$. That is, there is a one-to-one correspondence between a and κ (at fixed ρ). In order to simplify further calculations we will use the dimensional parameter κ instead of both a and ρ without regard for the existence of discrete spectrum. With this in mind, the boundary conditions (37) and (38) take the form

$$l = 1: \quad v_1^{\kappa\prime\prime}(0) = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\kappa v_1^{\kappa\prime}(0), \quad v_1^{\kappa}(0) = v_1^{\kappa\prime\prime\prime}(0) = 0, \tag{44}$$

$$l = 2: \quad v_2^{\kappa\prime\prime\prime}(0) = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{5}\kappa^3 v_2^{\kappa}(0), \quad v_2^{\kappa\prime}(0) = v_2^{\kappa\prime\prime}(0) = 0. \tag{45}$$

Equations (42) and (43) show, that positive values of κ (which are equivalent to the existence of the negative discrete spectrum) correspond to parameter a residing in the intervals

$$l = 1: \quad \frac{3\pi}{8} < a < \pi,$$
$$l = 2: \quad \frac{5\pi}{8} < a < \pi.$$

Thus we may conclude that in the above intervals of a the self-adjoint operators T_{la}^2 acquire negative eigenvalues $-\kappa^4$ of multiplicity one, corresponding to (unnormalized) eigenfunctions

$$\tilde{v}_l^{\kappa} = iD_l\big(\exp\{e^{-i\frac{3\pi}{4}}\kappa r\} - \exp\{e^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}\kappa r\}\big). \tag{46}$$

8 Continuous spectrum

The description of the continuous spectrum of operator $T_{l\kappa}^2$, $\kappa = \kappa(a)$ involves the solution of the equation

$$\big(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\big)^2 \tilde{u}_l^{\lambda} = \lambda^4 \tilde{u}_l^{\lambda}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

As in the previous section, one can substitute

$$\tilde{u}_l^{\lambda} = D_l w^{\lambda}, \tag{47}$$

and then a simple fourth order equation arises for w^{λ}

$$\frac{d^4 w^{\lambda}}{dr^4} = \lambda^4 w^{\lambda}.$$

Its solutions are the four linearly independent exponents

$$\exp\{i^k \lambda r\}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

which allow us to construct two linearly independent functions vanishing at zero and nongrowing at infinity. Any linear combination of these functions can be written (up to a common factor) as

$$w^\lambda = \sin \lambda r + \sigma(\lambda)(\cos \lambda r - e^{-\lambda r}).$$

This expression immediately yields the absence of the positive discrete spectrum of T_{la}^2, since no any w^λ vanishes at infinity, nor does the main term in $\tilde{u}_l^\lambda$.

Let us show that for any $\lambda > 0$ there is a single $\sigma(\lambda)$ such that the function (47) obeys the boundary condition (44) or (45). Indeed, the expansion of w^λ in r near zero reads as

$$w^\lambda(r) = (1 + \sigma)\lambda r - \sigma\lambda^2 r^2 + \frac{\sigma - 1}{6}\lambda^3 r^3 + \frac{\sigma + 1}{120}\lambda^5 r^5 + \mathcal{O}(r^6).$$

Then the asymptotics (32) and (33) give the estimates

$$\tilde{u}_1^\lambda = D_1 w^\lambda = -\sigma\lambda^2 r + \frac{\sigma - 1}{3}\lambda^3 r^2 + \mathcal{O}(r^4),$$
$$\tilde{u}_2^\lambda = D_2 w^\lambda = \sigma\lambda^2 + \frac{\sigma + 1}{15}\lambda^5 r^3 + \mathcal{O}(r^4).$$

By comparing these expansions with (44) and (45) one may conclude that the dependence of σ on λ is single valued and looks as

$$l = 1: \quad \sigma_1(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \sqrt{2}\kappa}, \quad \lambda > 0, \tag{48}$$

$$l = 2: \quad \sigma_2(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^3}{\sqrt{2}\kappa^3 - \lambda^3}, \quad \lambda > 0. \tag{49}$$

Thus we arrive at a continuous spectrum of multiplicity one, occupying all values on the positive half-axis.

9 Normalization of eigenfunctions

To calculate the normalization of the eigenfunctions $\tilde{v}_l^\kappa$ and of the "eigenfunctions of the continuous spectrum" $\tilde{u}_l^\lambda$ it is convenient to exploit the following

identities for the operations D_l

$$\int_0^\infty D_1\tilde{w}(r)D_1w(r)dr = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tilde{w}}{dr}\frac{dw}{dr}dr - \frac{\tilde{w}w}{r}\Big|_0^\infty, \tag{50}$$

$$\int_0^\infty D_2\tilde{w}(r)D_2w(r)dr = \int_0^\infty \frac{d^2\tilde{w}}{dr^2}\frac{d^2w}{dr^2}dr + \frac{3}{r}\big((\frac{\tilde{w}w}{r})' - \tilde{w}'w'\big)\Big|_0^\infty. \tag{51}$$

These formulas can be derived from the definition (30) by a straightforward integration by parts. It is not hard to see that in the case when $\tilde{w}$, w do not grow at infinity and vanish at zero as above expressions for w^κ, w^λ, the boundary terms in the RHSs disappear and only the integration terms survive.

Now one can apply (50) and (51) to (46) and (47) and calculate the norm of the (real valued) eigenfunctions $\tilde{v}_l^\kappa$:

$$\int_0^\infty \tilde{v}_1^\kappa(r)\tilde{v}_1^\kappa(r)dr = \int_0^\infty \frac{dw^\kappa}{dr}\frac{dw^\kappa}{dr}dr =$$

$$= -\kappa^2\int_0^\infty (e^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}\exp\{e^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}\kappa r\} - e^{-i\frac{3\pi}{4}}\exp\{e^{-i\frac{3\pi}{4}}\kappa r\})^2dr = \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$\int_0^\infty \tilde{v}_2^\kappa(r)\tilde{v}_2^\kappa(r)dr = \int_0^\infty \frac{d^2w^\kappa}{dr^2}\frac{d^2w^\kappa}{dr^2}dr =$$

$$= \kappa^4\int_0^\infty (\exp\{e^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}\kappa r\} + \exp\{e^{-i\frac{3\pi}{4}}\kappa r\})^2dr = \frac{\kappa^3}{\sqrt{2}},$$

and then that of the "continuous eigenfunctions" $\tilde{u}_l^\lambda$:

$$\int_0^\infty \tilde{u}_1^\lambda(r)\tilde{u}_1^\mu(r)dr = \int_0^\infty \frac{dw^\lambda}{dr}\frac{dw^\mu}{dr}dr =$$

$$= \lambda\mu\int_0^\infty \big(\cos\lambda r + \sigma_1^\lambda(e^{-\lambda r} - \sin\lambda r)\big)\big(\cos\mu r + \sigma_1^\mu(e^{-\mu r} - \sin\mu r)\big)dr =$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{2}\lambda\mu(1 + \sigma_1^\lambda\sigma_1^\mu)\,\delta(\lambda - \mu),$$

$$\int_0^\infty \tilde{u}_2^\lambda(r)\tilde{u}_2^\mu(r)dr = \int_0^\infty \frac{dw^\mu}{dr}\frac{dw^\lambda}{dr}dr =$$

$$= \lambda^2\mu^2\int_0^\infty \big(\sin\lambda r + \sigma_2^\lambda(\cos\lambda r + e^{-\lambda r})\big)\big(\sin\mu r + \sigma_2^\mu(\cos\mu r + e^{-\mu r})\big)dr =$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{2}\lambda^2\mu^2(1 + \sigma_2^\lambda\sigma_2^\mu)\,\delta(\lambda - \mu).$$

The above calculations allow one to write the normalized eigenfunctions in the following form

$$v_l^\kappa = i2^{1/4}\kappa^{1/2-l}D_l\big(\exp\{e^{-i\frac{3\pi}{4}}\kappa r\} - \exp\{e^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}\kappa r\}\big), \quad \kappa > 0,$$
$$u_l^\kappa = \frac{\sqrt{2}\lambda^{-l}}{\sqrt{\pi(1+(\sigma_l^\lambda)^2)}}D_l\big(\sin\lambda r + \sigma_l^\lambda(\cos\lambda r - e^{-\lambda r})\big),$$

where the dependencies of σ on λ and κ are fixed by (48) and (49). One may notice here that the singularities present in σ for a positive κ cancel, and the overall expression for u_l^κ is well defined for any positive λ.

The real valued functions $u_l^\lambda(r)$ (which also depend on κ) and $v_l^\kappa(r)$ satisfy the relations of orthonormality

$$\int_0^\infty u_l^{\lambda_1}(r)u_l^{\lambda_2}(r)dr = \delta(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2),$$
$$\int_0^\infty u_l^\lambda(r)v_l^\kappa(r)dr = 0, \quad \kappa > 0,$$
$$\int_0^\infty v_l^\kappa(r)v_l^\kappa(r)dr = 0, \quad \kappa > 0$$

and completeness

$$\int_0^\infty u_l^\lambda(r_1)u_l^\lambda(r_2)d\lambda + v_l^\kappa(r_1)v_l^\kappa(r_2) = \delta(r_1 - r_2)$$

(the second term in the LHS is present only for the discrete spectrum, *i.e.* for $\kappa > 0$).

10 Resolvent kernel

The kernel of the resolvent is an object equivalent to the above spectral decomposition, but in some cases it can be more appropriate to use than the latter. We shall look for the resolvent kernel $R(r,s;z)$ of the operator $T_{l\kappa}^2$ in form of a function which obeys the differential equation

$$\big((-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2})^2 - z^4\big)R(r,s;z) = \delta(r-s), \quad 0 < \arg z < \frac{\pi}{2}, \qquad (52)$$

as well as the boundary conditions (44), (45), while being symmetric in the arguments r and s, and exponentially vanishing in these arguments at infinity. The functions

$$D_l \exp\{i^k zr\}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3$$

satisfy the homogeneous equation

$$(T_l^2 - z^4) D_l \exp\{i^k zr\} = 0,$$

where T_l is a shorthand for the differential operation

$$T_l = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}.$$

The exponents $\exp\{i^k zr\}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$ possess a remarkable property: in a linear combination of them, if the first (constant) coefficient of expansion near zero vanishes, then the fifth coefficient (the one at the power r^4) also vanishes (and the same, consequently, applies to all other coefficients at the powers multiple of 4). This gives an additional degree of freedom for the composition of the asymptotics (44) or (45). Specifically, this allows one to construct the functions

$$h_- = D_l(e^{-izr} + \alpha_- e^{izr} + \beta_- e^{-zr}) \equiv \tilde{h}_- + \beta_- g_+, \quad g_+ = D_l e^{-zr},$$
$$h_+ = D_l(e^{zr} + \alpha_+ e^{-zr} + \beta_+ e^{izr}) \equiv \tilde{h}_+ + \beta_+ g_-, \quad g_- = D_l e^{izr}$$

with the right boundary conditions, out of the three chosen exponents, in such a way, that functions $\tilde{h}_\pm(r)$, $g_\pm(r)$ will satisfy the second order differential equations

$$(T_l \pm z^2)\tilde{h}_\pm(r) = 0, \quad (T_l \pm z^2) g_\pm(r) = 0, \tag{53}$$

and, at the same time, $g_\pm(r)$ will exponentially vanish at infinity. The coefficients α and β can be calculated directly by relating the equations (32), (33) for the sum of the exponents to the corresponding asymptotics (40) or (41). It turns out that for $l = 1$:

$$\alpha_+ = -\frac{(i+1)z + \sqrt{2}\kappa}{(i-1)z + \sqrt{2}\kappa}, \quad \beta_+ = \frac{2z}{(i-1)z + \sqrt{2}\kappa},$$
$$\alpha_- = \frac{(i+1)z - \sqrt{2}\kappa}{(i-1)z + \sqrt{2}\kappa}, \quad \beta_- = -\frac{2iz}{(i-1)z + \sqrt{2}\kappa},$$

and for $l = 2$:

$$\alpha_+ = -\frac{(i-1)z^3 + \sqrt{2}\kappa}{(i+1)z^3 + \sqrt{2}\kappa}, \quad \beta_+ = -\frac{2z^3}{(i+1)z^3 + \sqrt{2}\kappa},$$
$$\alpha_- = -\frac{(1-i)z^3 + \sqrt{2}\kappa}{(i+1)z^3 + \sqrt{2}\kappa}, \quad \beta_- = -\frac{2iz^3}{(i+1)z^3 + \sqrt{2}\kappa}.$$

The functions $h_\pm$ and $g_\pm$ introduced above allow one to contruct the resolvent kernel in the following way

$$R(r,s;z) = \frac{1}{2z^2 W_-}\big(h_-(r)g_-(s)\theta(s-r) + h_-(s)g_-(r)\theta(r-s)\big) - \\ - \frac{1}{2z^2 W_+}\big(h_+(r)g_+(s)\theta(s-r) + h_+(s)g_+(r)\theta(r-s)\big), \quad (54)$$

where $W_\pm$ are the wronskians

$$W_\pm(z) = \tilde{h}'_\pm g_\pm - \tilde{h}_\pm g'_\pm,$$

which, taking into account equations (53), do not depend on r and can be calculated at any convenient point (*e.g.* at infinity) bringing the following simple expressions

$$l = 1: \quad W_- = -2iz^3, \quad W_+ = -2z^3,$$
$$l = 2: \quad W_- = -2iz^5, \quad W_+ = 2z^5.$$

Symmetry of $R(r,s;z)$ in the arguments r and s is evident, while the asymptotic conditions at zero and at infinity follow from the construction of the components h and g. All that is left to check is the differential equation (52). To do this, one can substitute the definitions

$$h_+ = \tilde{h}_+ + \beta_+ g_+, \quad h_- = \tilde{h}_- + \beta_- g_-$$

and then absorb the tilde variables $\tilde{h}_\pm$ into terms $R_\pm$

$$R = R_- - R_+ + R_g,$$

so that

$$R_\pm = \frac{1}{2z^2 W_\pm}\big(\tilde{h}_\pm(r)g_\pm(s)\theta(s-r) + \tilde{h}_\pm(s)g_\pm(r)\theta(r-s)\big),$$

and

$$R_g = \frac{\beta_-}{2z^2 W_-}\big(g_+(r)g_-(s)\theta(s-r) + g_+(s)g_-(r)\theta(r-s)\big) - \\ - \frac{\beta_+}{2z^2 W_+}\big(g_-(r)g_+(s)\theta(s-r) + g_-(s)g_+(r)\theta(r-s)\big).$$

By means of a plain substitution one can check that

$$\frac{\beta_-}{W_-} = -\frac{\beta_+}{W_+} = \begin{cases} ((i-1)z^3 + \sqrt{2}\kappa z^2)^{-1}, & l = 1 \\ ((i+1)z^5 + \sqrt{2}\kappa z^2)^{-1}, & l = 2, \end{cases}$$

separately for $l = 1$ and for $l = 2$. This, together with the property

$$\theta(r-s) + \theta(s-r) = 1,$$

yields the following expression for R_g

$$R_g = \frac{\beta_-}{2z^2 W_-}\big(g_+(r)g_-(s) + g_+(s)g_-(r)\big). \tag{55}$$

This function is smooth and symmetric in its arguments r and s, and evidently satisfies the homogeneous equation

$$(T_l^2 - z^4)R_g(r,s;z) = 0.$$

The functions R_+, R_- have the form of resolvents of second order differential operators constructed on the solutions (53) (although with rather strange boundary conditions). For that reason they satisfy the second order differential equations

$$(T_l \pm z^2)R_\pm(r,s;z) = \frac{1}{2z^2}\delta(r-s).$$

These equations allow one to write the following equality

$$(T_l^2 - z^4)(R_- - R_+) = (T_l + z^2)(T_l - z^2)R_- - (T_l - z^2)(T_l + z^2)R_+ = \\ = (T_l + z^2)\frac{\delta(r-s)}{2z^2} - (T_l - z^2)\frac{\delta(r-s)}{2z^2} = \delta(r-s).$$

This precisely means that the constructed function $R(r,s;z)$ satisfies differential equation (52) with the prescribed boundary conditions, and in this way it represents the resolvent kernel of the self-adjoint operator $T_{l\kappa}^2$.

10.1 Reverse operator

The kernel of the operator reverse to $T_{l\kappa}^2$ can be derived from the resolvent kernel (54) by means of taking the limit $z \to 0$. That calculation, however, requires an expansion to the order 5 in parameter z and is rather laborious, so we shall provide a more primitive construction.

Let $T_l^{-1}(r,s)$ be a kernel of the operator reverse to

$$T_l = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2},$$

considered as an essentially self-adjoint second order differential operator. Then its square

$$T_l^{-2}(r,s) = \int_0^\infty T_l^{-1}(r,q) T_l^{-1}(q,s) dq$$

does satisfy the formal equality

$$\big(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\big)^2 T_l^{-2}(r,s) = \delta(r-s),$$

but does not possess the necessary asymptotic at zero. Then the trick is to add to the square $T_l^{-2}(r,s)$ a term similar to (55), such that it, on one hand, would vanish under the action of the fourth order differential expression T_l^2, and on the other hand would fix the behaviour of $T_l^{-2}(r,s)$ near zero.

For $l = 1$

$$T_1^{-1}(r,s) = \frac{1}{3}\big(\frac{r^2}{s}\theta(s-r) + \frac{s^2}{r}\theta(r-s)\big),$$

and after integration we obtain

$$T_1^{-2}(r,s) = \frac{1}{6}\big((r^2 s - \frac{r^4}{5s})\theta(s-r) + (s^2 r - \frac{s^4}{5r})\theta(r-s)\big).$$

The additional term

$$-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\kappa} rs = -\frac{1}{6}\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}\kappa} rs\big(\theta(s-r) + \theta(r-s)\big)$$

brings the expansion in r and s near zero to the form (40)

$$\Theta_1(r,s) = T_1^{-2} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\kappa} rs =$$
$$= \frac{1}{6}\big((r^2 s - \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}\kappa} rs - \frac{r^4}{5s})\theta(s-r) + (s^2 r - \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}\kappa} rs - \frac{s^4}{5r})\theta(r-s)\big),$$

and at the same time it does not spoil the condition

$$\big(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2}+\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\big)^2\Theta_1(r,s)=\delta(r-s).$$

Thus we conclude that the function $\Theta_1(r,s)$ is the kernel of the self-adjoint operator reverse to $T_{1\kappa}^2$. This operator is not bounded, which is manifested in the growth of its kernel at the infinity.

Case $l=2$ can be treated a similar way:

$$T_2^{-1}(r,s)=\frac{1}{5}\big(\frac{r^3}{s^2}\theta(s-r)+\frac{s^3}{r^2}\theta(r-s)\big).$$

Integration gives the following expression for the kernel of the operator square

$$T_2^{-2}(r,s)=\frac{1}{10}\big((\frac{r^3}{3}-\frac{r^5}{7s^2})\theta(s-r)+(\frac{s^3}{3}-\frac{s^5}{7r^2})\theta(r-s)\big).$$

The additional term fixing the boundary conditions now is a constant

$$-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\kappa^3}=-\frac{1}{30}\frac{15}{\sqrt{2}\kappa^3}\big(\theta(s-r)+\theta(r-s)\big),$$

which gives the resulting expression for the kernel of the reverse operator as

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_2(r,s)=T_2^{-2}(r,s)-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\kappa^3}=\\ =\frac{1}{10}\big((\frac{r^3}{3}-\frac{5}{\sqrt{2}\kappa^3}-\frac{r^5}{7s^2})\theta(s-r)+(\frac{s^3}{3}-\frac{5}{\sqrt{2}\kappa^3}-\frac{s^5}{7r^2})\theta(r-s)\big).\end{aligned}$$

11 Quadratic form

In this section we outline two expressions for the extensions of the quadratic form (1) of the 3-dimensional operator. For that one is to substitute the transverse components

$$\vec{f}_{lm}=\sqrt{l(l+1)}\frac{u_{lm}}{r^2}\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm}(\Omega)+\frac{u'_{lm}}{r}\vec{\Psi}_{lm}(\Omega)$$

into the integral

$$\sum_{k,j}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus B_r}|\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_j}|^2d^3x \tag{56}$$

over the complement of the ball B_r of the radius r centered at the origin. Integration by parts allows to extract the expressions

$$\int_r^\infty \overline{u_{lm}(r)}(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2}+\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2})^2 u_{lm}(r)dr, \tag{57}$$

for the quadratic forms in question, but one should keep the terms with the values of the functions on the boundary of B_r. By extending the set of the parametrizing functions u_{lm} to the domain of $T^2_{l\kappa}$ with the boundary conditions (44), (45), the expressions (57) are transferred into the extended quadratic forms of these operators. In this process the second (for $l=1$) and the third (for $l=2$) derivative in the boundary terms is to be expressed via the first derivative or the value of the function, in correspondence with (44) or (45). Further the boundary terms can be expressed via $\vec{f}(\vec{x})$ and gathered into

$$f^2_{lm}(r)=\sum_{k,k'}\iint_{\mathbb{S}^2} f_k(r,\Omega)\big(\overline{\Upsilon^k(\Omega)}\Upsilon^{k'}(\Omega')+\overline{\Psi^k(\Omega)}\Psi^{k'}(\Omega')\big)\times$$
$$\times\,\overline{f_{k'}(r,\Omega')}r^2 d\Omega d\Omega' = |u'_{lm}(r)|^2+\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}|u_{lm}(r)|^2.$$

Finally they enter the extended quadratic form in the following way

$$Q_\kappa(f)=\lim_{r\to 0}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus B_r}|\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_j}|^2 d^3x-$$
$$-\big(\frac{22\sqrt{2}}{9}\kappa_{1m}+\frac{5}{3r}\big)f^2_{1m}(r)-\big(\frac{80\sqrt{2}}{750}\kappa^3_{1m}+\frac{4}{r}\big)f^2_{2m}(r)\Big),$$

where k, j and m are summed up and $\vec{f}(\vec{x})$ is transverse as in (20). One can notice, that for regular $\vec{f}(\vec{x})$ the boundary terms vanish, the limit $r\to 0$ of the integral (56) is finite and the quadratic form $Q_\kappa(f)$ coincides with (1). The expression for $Q_\kappa(f)$ is nontrivial when some of the components of $\vec{f}(\vec{x})$ with angular momentum $l=1$ or $l=2$ diverge at the origin as r^{-1} or r^{-2}, correspondingly. In this case the limit of the integral (56) over the complement of the ball B_r diverges as $r\to 0$ and the boundary terms are arranged in the way to produce a finite κ-dependent value for $Q_\kappa(f)$. In the sperical symmetric case involving only the $l=1$ components (*i.e.* for $\kappa_{1m}=\kappa$, $\kappa_{2m}=-\infty$), the expression for $Q_\kappa(f)$ can be rewritten in a simpler

form similar to (10)

$$Q_\kappa(f) = \lim_{r\to 0}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus B_r} |\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_j}|^2 d^3x - (\frac{5}{3r} + \frac{22\sqrt{2}}{9}\kappa)\int_{\partial B_r} |\vec{f}(\vec{x})|^2 d^2s\Big),$$

where the function $\vec{f}(\vec{x})$ can be singular as r^{-1} at the origin, but is still square integrable over $\mathbb{R}^3$.

12 Conclusion

We have discussed self-adjoint extensions of the fourth-order differential operators T^2_{l0}, $l = 1, 2$, acting on the parametrizing functions in the quadratic form of the transverse vector Laplace operator. These operators allow to contruct extentions of the original quadratic form on functions in the 3-dimensional space. One has $3+5 = 8$ dimensional extension parameters κ_{lm}, which in a special case can be equal, thus setting up an additional symmetry of the model. In particular, at $\kappa_{lm} = \kappa > 0$ the extended quadratic form $Q_\kappa(\vec{f})$ possesses stable (with respect to variation) states which look as

$$\sum_{|m|\le l\le 2} A_{lm}\big(\frac{v^\kappa_l(r)}{r^2}\vec{\Upsilon}_{lm}(\Omega) + \frac{v^{\kappa\prime}_l(r)}{r}\vec{\Psi}_{lm}(\Omega)\big),$$

wherein A_{lm} can be either scalars or elements of the representation of some algebra of internal symmetry.

It is worthwhile to note that the introduction of nontrivial dimensional extension parameters breaks the dilation (scale) homogeneity of the quadratic form (1). That is, the presented extension can be treated as a way to introduce a dimensional parameter in the model of *classical* mechanics with the potential energy of the form (1).

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to P. A. Bolokhov and S. Derkachov for discussions. The work is partially supported by RFBR grants 14-01-00341, 12-01-00207 and the programme "Mathematical problems of nonlinear dynamics" of RAS.

References

[1] K. Friedrichs, "Spektraltheorie halbbeschränkter Operatoren," Math. Ann. **109**, 1934, 465–487;
M. Stone, in *Linear Transformations in Hilbert spaces and their Applications in Analysis*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publication **15**, Providence, R.I., 1932;
or see theorem X.23 in [2].

[2] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. II: Fourier Analysis, Self-adjointness*, Academic Press, 1975.

[3] M. G. Krein, "The theory of self-adjoint extensions of semi-bounded Hermitian transformations and its applications.", Rec. Math. (Mat. Sbornik) N.S., **20** (62), 1947, 431–495; Rec. Math. (Mat. Sbornik) N.S., **21** (64), 1947, 365–404.

[4] F. A. Berezin, L. D. Faddeev, "A Remark on Schrodinger's equation with a singular potential," Sov. Math. Dokl. **2** (1961) 372 [Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ser. Fiz. **137** (1961) 1011].

[5] S. Albeverio, P. Kurasov, *Singular Perturbation of Differential Operators. Solvable Schrödinger type Operators*, Cambridge University Press, 2000.

[6] see e.g. E. L. Hill, "The Theory of Vector Spherical Harmonics", Am. J. Phys. **22** (1954) 211.

[7] R. D. Richtmyer, *Principles of Advanced Mathematical Physics, vol.1*, Springer-Verlag, New York Heildelberg Berlin, 1978.